



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20370-5100

MEH:ddj
Docket No: 4026-00
7 November 2000

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 7 November 2000. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion furnished by CMC memorandum 1001/1 MMEA-6 of 12 October 2000, a copy of which is attached.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. In this connection, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the advisory opinion. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director

Enclosure



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS
3280 RUSSELL ROAD
QUANTICO, VIRGINIA 22134-5103

IN REPLY REFER TO:

1001/1
MMEA-6
10 JAN 2000

MEMORANDUM FOR THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF
NAVAL RECORDS

Subj: BCNR DOCKET NO. 04026-00 CASE OF STAFF [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

1. We have carefully reviewed Staff Sergeant [REDACTED] case and recommend his request for a contract modification and subsequent entitlement to a Selective Reenlistment Bonus (SRB) be denied.
2. Staff Sergeant [REDACTED] requested a 36 month reenlistment on 12 January 2000 and was approved on 20 January 2000. He executed this reenlistment authority on 20 April 2000. The Marine Corps announced on 3 May 2000, via MARADMIN 230/00, the SRB reenlistment bonus that Staff Sergeant [REDACTED] is contending.
3. In order to be eligible for a SRB, Staff Sergeant [REDACTED] needed to request and execute a 48 month reenlistment. Additionally, he executed his authority to reenlist almost two weeks prior to publication of MARADMIN 230/00. Therefore, Staff Sergeant [REDACTED] was not eligible for a SRB, Zone C multiple of (2) in primary military occupational specialty (PMOS) 6072.
4. Point of contact is Gunnery Sergeant Timmerman, DSN 278-9235.

R. W. SPOONER
GS-13
ASSISTANT HEAD, ENLISTED ASSIGNMENT BRANCH